

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment for 10-26

Date: Tuesday, October 20, 2020 at 3:41:58 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Torres, Julie

To: Hunderman, Lisa

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

[REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2020 7:05:09 AM

To: Torres, Julie <jtorres@cityofsparks.us>

Subject: Public Comment for 10-26

[REDACTED]

Dear City Council,

Forbush is not a White Supremacist or Racist. These statements are FALSE. He is a MINORITY Officer. See, In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, Case Number 37804, Federico Martinez Campos vs. The State of Nevada. Order of Affirmance.

When it comes to the *Journalists* reporting of *Forbush*. Journalistic Integrity must be questioned. Applying the Five Core Principles of Journalism. Truth and Accuracy, Independence, Fairness and Impartiality, Humanity, and Accountability.

To avoid a conflict of interest, *Journalists* should not act on the behalf of any special interests groups. Words and images can cause harm when published. It is up to the *Reader* to draw their own conclusion.

Readers must question all sources. All *Journalists* stand to financially gain, but at whose expense?

A *Journalist* must be professional and responsible to admit error. The articles appear unfair and biased against the Officer. The question is. Will *Forbush* receive a public apology? One appears warranted.

Yours Truly,

Khris Integris

Subject: Fwd: Support of Officer George Forbush
Date: Tuesday, October 20, 2020 at 3:41:48 PM Pacific Daylight Time
From: Torres, Julie
To: Hunderman, Lisa
Attachments: image001.jpg

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Debra Chaplin <the.chaplins96@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2020 6:37:50 AM
To: Torres, Julie <jtorres@cityofsparks.us>
Subject: Re: Support of Officer George Forbush

Dear City Council,

Members of a hate group, Reno Cop Watch made the Complaints against Officer Forbush. The FBI states, "*A group's primary purpose is to promote animosity, hostility, and malice against persons.*" It advocates and practices hatred, or violence against any other designated sector of society. Members share an intense hatred of authority and of the government. It's primary purpose is to slander and defame police officers.

On August 2nd, a member stated "Damn, he was my next project but you beat me to it. Rock on ☐."

On August 7th, a member stated "Turn around is fair play" How to instructions regarding the Officer's address and family's posted.

On August 26th, a supporter of Forbush stated, "Reno Cop Watch from a group of equally violent humans hiding behind anonymous fb accounts???? And giving out his mother's address etc... No."

Members cyber-stalked Forbush by utilizing public transparency. Using the bait and switch tactic. The tweets were not coincidentally stumbled upon, the tweets were shared to substantially increase the risk of harm or violence to the Officer. Officer Ryan Gott of Reno PD is another cyber-stalk victim.

*An Officer does not waive their rights to privacy and are entitled to **Freedom of Speech** used synonymously as **Freedom of Expression** is a Human Right.*

Bob Conrad, PhD is licensed and certified with the Universal Accreditation Board. Meghan Simmons with The Nevada State Board of Examiners for Alcohol, Drug, and Gambling. Both who wrote articles against Forbush.

Laws impose a higher duty of care on some professionals. "A doctor must not only act as a reasonable person would under the circumstances, but must also act with the skill and professionalism that a similarly-trained doctor would exhibit under the circumstances".

Conrad's and Simmon's perspective license boards should determine if there is violations of Professional Code of Conduct and Ethics of their multiple roles acting in reckless disregard in the dissemination of the mass produced false statements in the articles defaming Officer Forbush. Determining whether their licenses should be permanently revoked based as a potential threat to the Public. As they have the tool to reach a mass audience. A public apology should be part of their disciplinary action.

"Published written matter, innocent on its face, when coupled with extrinsic facts which render it defamatory, may in some jurisdictions constitute actionable libel."

The allegations may be in Bad Faith and Fictitious. Any and all Complaints against the Officer should be dismissed. The Findings of the Investigation should not be disclosed.

An equal balance for the public and law enforcement must be created. Only badge numbers provided. Not personal information. Nevada should follow it's Sister's States.

Citizens and tax payers should not have funds wasted on fictitious accusations or allow harassment of our Officers that may jeopardize their safety.

Citizens are in safer hands of the FBI, DOJ, State and Federal Courts! We do not need such individuals to be Judge and Jury! As they have no authority.

Thank you for your time and service to our Community.

Sincerely,

Debra Chaplin

Supporting references are as follows: (they do not need to be read for public comment, only for the Council.)

Reno Cop Watch and Reno Cop Block of Facebook

The Police Tribune

ThisIsReno.Com

NRS 289.025

NRS 239.0115

NRS 200.575

First Amendment of the United States Constitution

Article 19 of the UDHR

Cornell Law Review, Volume 47 (1961)

New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254

Herbert v. Lando, 441 U.S. 153, 99 S.Ct. 1635, 60 L.Ed.2d 115 (1979)